

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,733	03/26/2004	Qiong Cheng	CL2385USNA	3038	
	7590 02/02/200 DE NEMOURS AND (	EXAMINER			
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			LEE, JAE W		
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILMINGTON	N, DE 19805		1656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/810,733	CHENG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jae W. Lee	1656		

	Jae W. Lee	1656	.42				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS		`	,				
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	•				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	`						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>36</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>15,17-19</u> and 37.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the content of the con	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	11 1	•				
13. Other:		KH H	<del>'/</del>				
15 tol 1. V							

RICHARD HUTSON, PH.D.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' amendments after final rejection, filed on 01/02/2007, is acknowledged. In the amendment, claim 37 recites "as set foth in SEQ ID NO: 2," "as set foth in SEQ ID NO: 4," "as set foth in SEQ ID NO: 6," "as set foth in SEQ ID NO: 8," "as set foth in SEQ ID NO: 10," "as set foth in SEQ ID NO: 12," and "as set foth in SEQ ID NO: 14," however, it is unclear as to whether those SEQ ID NOs are corresponding to their respective enzymes listed in a) through g). Therefore, Applicants' proposed amendment of Claim 37 would introduce new issues that would require further consideration because these limitations have yet to be presented for examination on the merits.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Previous rejections remain in light of the non-entry of the Applicants' proposed amendment.